			Humar	Service Ou	tcomes with P	ACHSA Com	ments – (blue	e text)	
HS Outcomes: ID Indi	ators DBHIDS ID Feedback	MH Indicators	DBHIDS MH Feedback	CYS Indicators	DBHIDS CYS Feedback	SA Indicators	DBHIDS SA Feedback	AAA Indicators	DBHIDS AAA Feedback
Counties  experience a reduction in institutional placements.  Partial List of Data Sources for all outcomes: HCSIS Data Warehouse SAMS POMS STARS BHMCO Data QSR CFST IM4Q PAYS Survey Provider Monitoring County tracked data Docu-Share Reports Hornby Zeller report Child Abuse Report   Revieus  Revieus  Revieus Marco Pract Revieus Method  # Head Quali	ted (Outcomes 1 & 2):  I Abuse While DBHIDS collects data for most of the proposed ID indicators, many of the measures do not appear to be directly related to viduals vioral compliance with outcomes #1 or #2. E.g., provider compliance with ODP standards. Ving emental tation performance related to this priority population is endorsed; however additional time is needed to collaboratively develop quantifiable measures corresponding to desired outcomes.	<ul> <li>#/% Living independently</li> <li># Utilizing Emergency Shelter</li> <li>% with income above current Federal Poverty Guidelines</li> <li>% who spend 30% or less of their income for rent</li> <li># residing more than two consecutive years in a State Hospital</li> <li>#Admissions to State Hospital System per year</li> <li># Readmissions to the State Hospital System per year</li> <li># Rebadmissions to the State Hospital System per year</li> <li># Discharges from State Hospital per year</li> <li>% voluntary hospitalization s is greater</li> </ul>	General Feedback (Outcomes 1 & 2):  Indicators should be more specific to ensure consistent statewide reporting. e.g., how would information regarding 302 diversions be defined/collected?  Based on the large scope of our local network and service population it would be difficult to gather self-report information required by some indicators.  The following items should be considered for inclusion:  Evidence Based service use Use of Peer Services Emphasis upon public health approaches & outcomes Indicators related to the diversion and post-release community	# Receiving out of home placement Kinship Care Foster Care Care Commun ity Res. Indepen dent Living RFT Aging Out   Total days in care by setting (Kinship, Foster, Community, Residential, Supervised IL)  Safety: Exposure to threats of	The following items should be considered for inclusion:  Treatment Supported Foster Care Institutional levels of care Acute Inpatient Hospitalization Group Homes "Supervised Supportive" Independent Living (SSIL) Residential Treatment Facilities Aging Out "Reentering care prior to 21 under Act 91"  The following items should be considered for inclusion: Treatment Supported Foster Care Institutional Care SSIL	<ul> <li># Utilizing         Recovery         Specialist</li> <li># Arrests/         incarceratio         ns</li> <li># of         assessments         completed</li> <li># repeat         assessments</li> <li># SAP         consumers         identifying         as homeless</li> <li>- CFST         Responses</li> <li>% report         being asked         to         participate         in service /</li> </ul>	Concern that this indicator may reinforce stigma  Assessment expectations should vary by level of care  Include tracking of assessments that produce inconsistent results.  Based upon the National Survey on Drug Use and Health	<ul> <li># of Older Adult Protective Services (OAPS) Cases</li> <li># of open AAA cases that are referred to Protective Services</li> <li># of Older Adults open at AAA who were referred to and opened by OAPS</li> <li># of reported Critical Incidents</li> <li># of successful transitions from nursing facilities</li> <li># of participants in the Aging Waiver program</li> <li># of participants in Options program</li> <li>Consumer Satisfaction Survey responses on questions related to feeling safe in their environment.</li> <li># Emergency Shelter Days</li> </ul>	General Feedback/Concerns (Outcomes 1 & 2):  Tracking performance related to this population is endorsed; however time is needed to collaboratively develop quantifiable measures corresponding to desired outcomes.  Based on the expansive scope of our local network and service population it would be difficult to gather extensive self-report information required by some indicators.  Gathering person specific, cross-system information also presents unique challenges in a large urban setting.

				Humar	Service Out	comes with P	ACHSA Com	ments – (blu	e text)	
HS Outcomes:	ID Indicators	DBHIDS	MH Indicators	DBHIDS	CYS Indicators	DBHIDS	SA Indicators	DBHIDS	AAA Indicators	DBHIDS
		ID Feedback		MH Feedback		CYS Feedback		SA Feedback		AAA Feedback
			than involuntary and corresponds with BHMCO's averages for other counties  • % of re- admissions as reported by BHMCO  • # incarcerations of target 1 & 2 groups  • Follow-Up after Hospitalization rates – PA Specific (7 and 30 day counts)  • # Crisis Service Contacts/ 302 Diversions per year  • # of CHIPP initiatives implemented	integration of persons involved with the criminal justice system.	harm Risk to self and others Re-Abuse Re-entry placement rate within 12 months FAST/CANS assessment # families utilizing Emergency Shelter		treatment planning & goal setting  • % report that services were provided on a timely manner  • # Utilizing Emergency Shelter		utilized  # of older adults accessing Family Caregiver Services  # of older adults utilizing the Emergent Services funding.  # of Active Consumers  # of Completed Level of Care Determinations  # of Level of Care Determination completed within 15 day time frame  # older adults on wait list  # receiving Home Delivered Meals  # of Guardianships	
Outcome #2: Individuals/ Families have	# of individuals moved from Emergency PUNS to fully served.		<ul> <li># of Recovery –         Focused         services         operating in         County</li> <li>% older adults</li> </ul>		Reunificatio     n Rate –     Time to     Reunificatio     n     # Closed		County     maintains     comprehensi     ve service     array		<ul> <li># older adults attending County Senior Centers</li> <li># RSVP volunteers</li> </ul>	•

				Human Service Outcomes with PACHSA Comments – (blue text)						
HS Outcomes:	ID Indicators	DBHIDS ID Feedback	MH Indicators	DBHIDS MH Feedback	CYS Indicators	DBHIDS CYS Feedback	SA Indicators	DBHIDS SA Feedback	AAA Indicators	DBHIDS AAA Feedback
increased access to services within their communities.	PUNS     updated     within 365     days      # of     individuals on     emergency     PUNS and     length of time     in this status      % report     getting     services and     supports that     they need      # Providers     successfully     completing all     phases of     Provider     Monitoring     Process      # Providers     meeting ODP     qualification     standards       # individuals     funded by     ODP for     Supported	It is recommended that this measure be eliminated. Compliance with this indicator does not correspond with increased access to community services.	in county enrolled at BSU  Wreceiving mental health services  Wreport being asked to participate in service / treatment planning & goal setting  Wreport that services were provided on a timely manner  Wof recovery — oriented mental health services available  wavailable consumer- operated and consumer delivered services in County  Wreport satisfaction with services and improved quality of life.  Wreport that they knew that a choice of providers was available. Health Choices criteria for a		cases per fy  # of Service referrals  # receiving FGDM Truancy Outreach, Triple P, MST, Housing	The following items should be considered for inclusion:  # receiving In-Home Protective Services  % using Parent Café's within the Community Umbrella Agencies (CUAs)  % accessing behavioral health services  % using Evidence-Based Child Treatment and Child Welfare Services  % graduating high school  % of families involved in treatment	<ul> <li># evidence-based services operating in County</li> <li>-Results of provider monitoring reviews</li> <li>CFST Responses</li> <li># referred to treatment / # funded by SCA</li> <li># Evidence Based #Programs available</li> <li># of collaborative prevention events</li> <li># of evidence based # receiving treatment</li> </ul>	Per the ACA, tracking should not be limited to treatment. Referrals to community-based recovery focused support services, etc. should be included.  Redundant with 2 <sup>nd</sup> bullet in this section  Consider revising to focus on the number of people who "complete" a given EBP	# Ombudsman Volunteers # older adults participating in supports groups Grandpare nts raising Grandchildr en Family Care Giver Support Group # Older Adults participating the Home and Community Habilitation Program # older adults, active in the AAA program, accessing RSVP out of county transportation Consumer Satisfaction Survey responses on questions related to community inclusion/ participation.	

	ID Indicators	DBHIDS ID Feedback	MH Indicators	Human Service Outcomes with PACHSA Comments – (blue text)						
HS Outcomes:				DBHIDS MH Feedback	CYS Indicators	DBHIDS CYS Feedback	SA Indicators	DBHIDS SA Feedback	AAA Indicators	DBHIDS AAA Feedback
	Employment or Transitional Work  # of individuals who report volunteering in their community.  Housing Indicators-all Categoricals: # receiving Housing Case Management, Rental Assistance, Emergency Shelter Shelter Plus Care, HAP # % in Poverty		choice of at least 2 providers for each service is met  # attending community resources ie. Drop In Center  # involved with County planning process; System of Care Committee; leadership on agency boards  CFST Results  # report having meaningful activities  # report they are accepted in their community  # Employed FT/PT/ Volunteering				<ul> <li># participating in prevention services/ activities</li> <li>#receiving inpatient D&amp;A services</li> </ul>	Include Non-hospital D&A treatment		